## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
v.	) Criminal No. 20-CR-10102-WGY
VITALI ANTONENKO, a/k/a "Sabe," a/k/a "Sabeseller"	
Defendant.	)

## ORDER OF FORFEITURE (MONEY JUDGMENT)

## YOUNG, D.J.

WHEREAS, on May 26, 2020, a federal grand jury sitting in the District of Massachusetts returned a two-count Indictment, charging the Defendant, with Conspiracy to Gain Unauthorized Access and to Traffic in Unauthorized Access Devices, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 (Count One), and Money Laundering Conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) (Count Two);

WHEREAS, the Indictment also included a forfeiture allegation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), which provided notice that the United States intended to seek the forfeiture, upon conviction of the Defendant of the offense alleged in Count One of the Indictment, of any property, real or personal, which consititues, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense;

WHEREAS, in addition, the Indictment included a forfeiture allegation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1), which provided notice that the United States intended to seek forfeiture, upon conviction of the Defendant of the offense alleged in Count Two of the Indictment, of any

property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property.

WHEREAS, the Indictment further provided that, if any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission by the Defendant, (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty, the United States is entitled to seek forfeiture of any other property of the Defendant, up to the value of such assets, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incoporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 982(b);

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2024, at a hearing pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Defendant pled guilty to Counts One and Two of the Indictment, pursuant to a written plea agreement that he signed on July 22, 2024.

WHEREAS, in Section 7 of the plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit to the United States \$1,823,864 on the grounds that such amount is equal to the amount of proceeds Defendant derived from the offenses as a result of his guilty plea;

WHEREAS, based on the Defendant's admissions in the written plea agreement and his guilty plea on September 5, 2024, the United States is entitled to an Order of Forfeiture consisting of a personal money judgment against the Defendant, in the amount of \$1,823,864 in United States currency, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);

WHEREAS, the amount of \$1,823,864 constitutes proceeds that the Defendant obtained as a result of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h); and

WHEREAS, Rule 32.2(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provides that "no ancillary proceeding is required to the extent that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment."

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED:

- 1. The Defendant shall forfeit to the United States the sum of \$1,823,864, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).
- 2. This Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose of enforcing this Order.
- 3. The United States may, at any time, move pursuant to Rule 32.2(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure to amend this Order to substitute property having a value not to exceed the amount set forth in Paragraph 1 to satisfy the money judgment in whole or in part.
- 4. The United States may, at any time, conduct pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 21 U.S.C. § 853(m), as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. 2461, any discovery to identify, locate or dispose of forfeitable property or substitute assets, including, but not limited to, depositions and requests for documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things.
  - 5. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4), this Order shall be included in the sentence

pronounced and imposed by the Court at the sentencing hearing, and in the criminal judgment entered by this Court against the Defendant.

William S. Young
WILLIAM G. YOUNG
United States District Judge

Dated: December 10, 2024